1	WO	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	Ryan Jones,	No. CV 11-01115-PHX-JAT
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	vs.	
12	Darden Restaurants, Inc., a Florida) Corporation; GMRI, Inc., a Florida) corporation,  Defendants.	
13		
14		
15	Berendamo.	
16		,
17	Pending before the Court is the Stipulation and Motion to Stay Case Pending	
18	Completion of Arbitration (Doc. 16).	
19	On June 3, 2011, Plaintiff filed a Complaint in this Court requesting relief under Title	
20	VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. (Doc. 1). In his Complaint, Plaintiff alleges that	
21	Defendant wrongfully discriminated against him in the terms and conditions of his	
22	employment and he was subjected to sexual harassment and a discriminatory hostile work	
23	environment based on his sex. (Id. at 4). On August 23, 2011, the Parties filed a stipulation	
24	with the Court conceding that this litigation is subject to mandatory arbitration pursuant to	
25	contracts between the Parties.	

Although 9 U.S.C. section 3 directs the Court, upon application by one of the parties,

to grant a stay pending arbitration, the Court retains discretion to dismiss the case instead.

 $\textit{Sparling v. Hoffman Constr. Co.}, 864\,F.2d\,635, 638\,(9\text{th Cir.}1988). \ \ Because all of Plaintiff's$ 

26

27

28

claims are covered by binding agreements to arbitrate, the Court will dismiss his claims without prejudice to reopen upon the conclusion of arbitration proceedings, if necessary.

Based on the foregoing,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the Stipulation and Motion to Stay Case Pending Completion of Arbitration is denied (Doc. 16).

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the parties are directed to proceed to arbitration in accordance with the terms of the arbitration provisions governing Plaintiff's claims.

**IT IS FINALLY ORDERED** that this case is dismissed without prejudice. If the Parties need to reappear before this Court for any reason relating to the arbitration of the claims in Plaintiff's Complaint (Doc. 1), either party may move to reopen this case within the applicable statute of limitations for review of an arbitration award.

DATED this 19th day of December, 2011.

James A. Teilborg / United States District Judge